

Basics on scientific working

Scientific working:

Scientific working means to start from a question and then analyze and work with this question. The aim is to get new findings and to document the process and the finding clearly.

- With a scientific work the student shows his competences in systematically and analytical scientific working
- A distinction is drawn between a scientific thesis as a result and scientific working as a process

Following criteria are important for a scientific work:

- The research deals with a visible topic, including a detailed description, so that others can identify the topic.
- Your research has to tell new foundations about the topic, no one has talked about before, or your research has to describe a new point of view.
- The research has to be of avail for others
- Your research has to include details that allow others to verify your hypotheses. So it has to include information that allow a discussion within the scientific community

Procedure model for scientific working

- To hypothesize, describe a problem
- Describe the current state of research about your topic, which is relevant for your hypothesis or problem
- Describe your approach for a new solution of your problem/ of the proof or the falsification of your hypothesis
- Constitute/ show / proof, that your approach (a) solves a problem and (b) is novel.
- Sum up your results and discuss possibilities for further research (open problems, new questions) which results from your work.

Steps of scientific working:

- Problem
- Current state of research
 - o Search material
 - o Literature review

- Approach
 - o Create a structure/outline
 - o Own work (architectures, models, hypothesize, algorithm, ...)
 - o validation, implementation , proof, experiment
- ...
- Write your thesis

Find a Topic:

To find a topic it's often helpful to have a look at the different research groups of your university or at different companies where you want to write your thesis or have a look on your own ideas.

- Often research groups have announcements
 - o Topics are often defined clearly, but there is also place for own ideas
 - o Advisors have often a big interest on the results
- Talk with possible advisors
 - o Create a topic within talks
 - o More place for your own interests
 - o In addition often an orientation to the interests of your advisor
- Own topic
 - o Rather an exception, but possible
 - o Results are oft not so important for the advisor
 - o Students often want to much
- Topic in a company
 - o Often you are the butler of two sides
 - o In the best case your advisor at university is part of the industry project
- You have to attend the following points:
- Which coverage and which depth should the work have?
- Has the topic enough potential for a scientific work?
- Can you contain and specify the topic?
- Which hypotheses and questions can derive from the topic?
- Which material can you use for this topic?

Important:

- To describe the implementation of a system is no scientific work!
 - o In the best case it's part of the use of a software engineering process
- Why?
 - o Does not express a problem which has to solve
 - o Does not show the state of the art of the research!
 - o No evaluation of the results

- Does not show open questions and future research

Contain your topic to a question to attend in your thesis/ create a Proposal

- Familiarizing yourself with the topic/ plan your work
 - Bachelor thesis: 4 weeks → 90 hours
- Written fixing of your topic, assignments, time schedule
 - What should be the achievement of your work?
 - Target agreement
 - Draft by student
 - Creation in agreement with advisor
 - Detailed time schedule
 - Name work packages
 - Plan the order and time for the different packages
- Registration
- Development
 - Bachelor thesis: 5 month → 360 hours

Project plan:

- Time scheduling
 - Take your deadlines seriously
 - During thesis with implementation, define the time for it
 - In agreement with your advisor
 - Normally max. 50% of your time
 - You need more time for writing than you think!
 - Plan 14 days for correction at the end of your thesis
- Time management
 - Time schedule is not equal to execution
 - But have a look on your time schedule and adapt it permanently
 - Adapt consequences of delays
 - If you have big problems, talk with your advisor early!
 - Always have a look at: study, exams, job, semester times

Search for material: Sources

- Sources must have a relevance to guarantee the quality of scientific work
- Need to use the whole spectrum of sources. Restriction on appropriate sources is not legal.
- Don't use trivial literature and unsecured internet resources as well as resources without reference
- Books: always use the newest edition
- Journals and Paper: good for actual topics
 - o Citeseer, <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/>
 - o ACM Digital Library, <http://portal.acm.org/>
 - o IEEE Digital Library, <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org>
 - o Springer: <http://www.springerlink.com/>
 - o University library: ub.upb.de
- ➔ Access to the portals from the network of the university!

Resource search on the web:

- Resources on the web are often more actual
- It's difficult to retrace the quality of the resources
- Therefore prefer scientific articles or technical reports (for example of a research group) Also you can use specifications and manuals.
- Internet references: URL+Description+day of download
- <http://scholar.google.com> – scientific search engine (shows also what resources are accessible from university network)
- Wikipedia:
 - o Not good for primary reference
 - o Good for orientation and finding of good literature
 - o Good for some really actual topics

Collect literature /bibliography

- Founded literature hat to note with complete references, so a later locating is easier.
- In best case write a short summary after reading an article:
 - o What is the input?
 - o Why is it relevant for my thesis?
- To collect and administrate your literature and the reference, there exists many tools
 - o Citavi
 - o Zotero
 - o Etc.
- If you write your thesis with LaTeX it's good to use BibTeX and Tools like jabref.

Formalism

- *Language*: German or English
- *Orthography, grammar*: error free
- *Typological presentation*
 - o Accentuation with italic or bold
 - o no CAPITALIZATION, underline
- *Footnote*
 - o Use advised
- *Foreign words and terms*
 - o Explain unknown foreign words (glossary)
- *Abbreviation*
 - o Explain abbreviations which are not used in dictionaries

Content

- Phrasing
 - o Scientific, precise style
 - o Clipped and precise explanations
 - o No personal terminology ("I think...")
- Line of argument
 - o Reproducible and clear argumentation
 - o Show known facts with resources
 - o Connections between the different chapters of your thesis
- Graphics
 - o Connection between graphics and text is very important
 - o Only readable graphics
- Citation
 - o Needed for the corroboration of your own argumentation line
 - o **You have to mark foreign ideas!**
 - o Show your own ideas as your own and ideas and results of others as foreign ideas and results!
 - o Direct vs. Indirect citation
 - Direct:
 - „A formula F is a tautology iff $\neg F$ is unsatisfiable.“ (Schöning 2000, S. 19)
 - Indirect:
 - We have shown that $\neg F$ is unsatisfiable, so F is a tautology (Schöning 2000, S. 19)
 - Indirect:
 - Because of the unsatisfiability of $\neg F$, F is like written in Schöning (2000, S. 19) a tautology.

The structure of a scientific work

- Title page
 - o Title, with subtitle if applicable
 - o Type of thesis (bachelor, master etc.)
 - o Author, location, date
 - o Have a look at special regulations (examination office)
- Affirmation
- Abstract
- Outline/Table of contents
 - o Title until sub subtitle or subsubsubtile
 - o With page number
- List of figures, or at the end
- List of figures, or at the end
- List of abbreviations (optional) , or at the end
- Introduction
- Main section
- Related work
- Conclusion/Outlook
- Appendix (optional)
- Bibliography
 - o Alphabetical order of author
- Glossary (optional)
- Index (optional)
- enclosure (optional)

Explanatory notes:

- Title
 - o Clear declaration of the title
 - o To attract interesting readers
- Abstract
 - o Defines the topic of the thesis
 - o Shows the important theses
 - o Short conclusion of the work
 - o No background material!
After reading the abstract, the reader decides to read the work or not
 - o The structure must show the central theme
- Introduction:
 - o Motivation, problem description and aim of your work
 - o Research areas, which are important for your work and there meaning
 - o goal
 - o approach

- structure of your work
- main part
 - important fundamentals for your work
 - “state of the art“ / “state-of-practice“
 - Own approach
 - Practical example/Implementation etc.
 - Evaluation of the results
 - structure:
 - content discussed with advisor
 - connection for each section
 - Introduction, Content , Conclusion
 - Section in each chapter to show connections
 - subheading
 - Three or max. 4 levels of subsection
 - Formalism:
 - Decimal number
 - chapters (and only those) always start on a new page
 - double page → new chapter on the right side
- related work
 - Fundamentals: Gives an overview of other related works, which are important for an exact dealing with your topic
 - Only in short way
 - Discuss the related work in a critical way in contrast to your own work
 - Describe advantages and disadvantages of the work, different assumptions, similarities etc.
 - Oft last part, before the conclusion, but can also find before the basic foundations
 - Advantage: You can discuss the other work on a better knowledge of the reader
 - Disadvantage: Classification of the topic sometimes more difficult
- Conclusion
 - Conclusion of all results
 - Only results!
 - Discusses the results from a bigger point of you, shows bigger connections
 - Can make recommendations if applicable
 - Shows the amount of your work
 - Discusses limitations of your work
 - Important chapter after the abstract!

How to write a good scientific work?

- There exists no patent remedy- but a good article of Prof. Hal Varian (from Berkeley) related to this topics
- Varian has five tips (for beginners and advanced learners)
 - 1. Look for ideas in the world, not in the journals!
 - You don't find ideas in an article or book
 - Your live shows you the ideas, talking with others, reading the newspaper
 - Go through your live with open eyes!
 - 2. First make your model as simple as possible, and then generalize it!
 - Try to describe your idea in your own words, so that another person, "not related to your subject" understand it.
 - Reduce to the essential parts, what you need to explain it.
 - May be you can generalize it.
 - 3. Look at the literature later, not sooner!
 - Only than when you form your own idea
 - Take time to formulate your own point of view
 - 4. Model your paper after your seminar!
 - Take every chance to present your ideas to other people
 - They force you to come to the point
 - The audience penalize redundancy, unclarity etc.
 - Take the chance to use feedback for your written work. What was difficult to understand? Are there additional ideas? Literature?
 - 5. Stop, when you've made the point!
 - When there exists no more questions (during your presentation) stop to think about
 - You are finished with your work
 - (Or: Your topic was not good ;))

More tips:

- Helpful techniques for structuring are mind mapping and clustering
- Talk with your advisor regularly
- If you have questions, ask your advisor or come to the learning center!

Resources (in German):

http://plm.in.tu-clausthal.de/PCP/documents/wernigerode/mueller_einfuehrung_wiss_arbeiten.pdf

http://groups.uni-paderborn.de/matiker/index.php?action=download_resource&id=45&module=resources_module&src=%40random46cda89ab5569

http://www.cs.uni-paderborn.de/fileadmin/Informatik/AG-Karl/Lehre/Sonstige_Lehre/Seminare/ausarbeitung.pdf